PORTLAND OR 97211 Tel: 503.860.6868 Facsimile: 866.241.4176

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.

Venue in this District is proper in that Plaintiffs reside in the Eastern District of Washington, the Defendant transacts business here, and the conduct complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

4.

Plaintiffs ROBERT WEGNER and BRANDEE WEGNER (herinafter, "Wegners") are a marital community residing in the Eastern District of Washington, and are "consumers" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).

5.

Upon information and belief, Defendant MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., (hereinafter "Defendant Midland") is a Kansas corporation operating from an address of 8875 Aero Drive, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92123, is an out-of-state collection agency licensed with the Washington State Department of Licensing, and is a "debt collector", as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6.

On or around March 10, 2011 and October 7, 2011, a representative of Midland by the name of Nicole communicated with The Palmer Firm, P.C., a law firm representing the Wegners, regarding possible settlement of a debt allegedly owed by Wegner to a third party for a Sears MasterCard account.

7.

Despite having knowledge that Plaintiff was represented by counsel, Defendant Midland, through its agent "James', made a direct telephone call to Plaintiff BRANDEE WEGNER on May 11, 2012, threatening to take legal action against Plaintiffs, falsely indicated that The Palmer Law Firm, P.C. did not represent Plaintiffs, and requested Plaintiffs call Defendant Midland back.

8.

Defendant Midland contacted The Palmer Law Firm, P.C. after May 11, 2012, and did not contact Plaintiffs again regarding this debt.

COMPLAINT

i 9. Plaintiffs have suffered actual and statutory damages as a result of Defendant Midland's conduct. 2 V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 3 Violation of §1692c(a)(2) of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act - Communicating With A Consumer 4 Represented By Counsel 5 10. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege paragraphs 1-9 above. 6 11. 7 Section 1692c(a) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with a consumer if the 8 debt collector knows the consumer is represented by an attorney with respect to that debt and has knowledge 9 of, or can readily ascertain, such attorney's name and address. 10 Defendant Midland contacted The Palmer Firm, P.C. twice regarding an alleged debt on a Sears 11 MasterCard. The Palmer Firm, P.C. indicated that they represented Plaintiffs regarding that debt, giving them 12 knowledge that Plaintiffs were represented by counsel. 13 13. 14 Despite having knowledge that Plaintiffs were represented by counsel with respect to the Sears MasterCard Account, Defendant Midland communicated directly with Plaintiffs by telephone, in violation of 15 §1692c(a)(2) of the FDCPA. 16 14. 17 As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant Midland is liable Plaintiffs for actual 18 and statutory damages, costs, and attorney's fees. 19 VI. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 20 Violation of Chapter 19.16 R.C.W. - The Washington Collection Agency Act 21 22 Plaintiffs repeat and reallege paragraphs 1-13 above. 23 16. Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.16.250(11) prohibits an out-of-state collection agency from 24 communicating with a debtor after notification in writing from an attorney representing such debtor that all 25 further communications relative to a claim should be addressed to the attorney. 26 17. 27 **COMPLAINT THOMAS MCAVITY** 28

1 HOMAS MCAVITY 2225 NE ALBERTA, STE A PORTLAND OR 97211 Tel: 503.860.6868 Facsimile: 866.241.4176

1 Defendant Midland was given constructive notice twice that Plaintiffs were represented by legal counsel with respect to the Sears MasterCard debt. 2 18. 3 Despite having knowledge that Plaintiffs were represented by legal counsel with respect to the 4 MasterCard debt, Defendant Midland continued to communicate directly with Plaintiff by telephone in 5 violation of RCW 19.16.250(11) of the Washington Collection Agency Act (WCAA). 6 VII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 7 Violation of Chapter 19.86 R.C.W. - The Washington Consumer Protection Act 8 19. 9 Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 above. 20. 10 11 RCW 19.16.440 declares that the commission of an act or practice prohibited by RCW 19.16.250 is 12 an unfair act or practice or unfair method of competition in the conduct of trade or commerce for purposes of 13 the Washington Consumer Protection Act found in Chapter 19.86 RCW. 14 21. Defendant Midland committed a per se unfair act or practice in the conduct of trade or commerce that 15 was injurious to the public interest in that it was a part of a pattern of conduct that has a real or substantial 16 potential for repetition and potential to affect many consumers. 17 22. 18 As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Midland's conduct, Plaintiffs suffered injury. 23. 19 As a result of Defendant Midland's violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, Defendant 20 Midland is liable to the Plaintiffs for treble damages, costs, and attorneys' fees. 21 VIII. DEMAND FOR JURY 22 24. Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all counts and issues so triable. 23 IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 24 25 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request the following relief: 26 27 **COMPLAINT** THOMAS MCAVITY 28

2225 NE ALBERTA, STE A
PORTLAND OR 97211
Tel: 503.860.6868
Facsimile: 866.241.4176

1	1. A finding that Defendant Midland violated §1692c(a)(2) of the Fair Debt Collection
2	Practices Act;
3	2. A finding that Defendant Midland violated the Washington Collection Agency Act,
4	Chapter 19.16 RCW;
5	3. A finding that Defendant Midland violated the Washington Consumer Protection
6	Agency Act, 19.86 RCW;
7	4. An award in favor of Plaintiffs for damages, including, but not limited to:
	a. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
8	b. Stautory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
9	§1692k(a)(2)(A);
10	c. Treble damages pursuant to R.C.W. 19.86.090;d. Attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
11	e. All other general and special damages as may be proven.
12	5. An award of such other relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.
13	
14	DATED this day of November, 2012.
15	
16	
17	Thomas McAvity, WSBA# Attorney for Plaintiff
18	Thomas in the second of the se
19	
20	STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss
21	COUNTY OF FRANKLIN)
22	I, Robert Wegner, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says: That he is a plaintiff therein,
23	that he has read the above and foregoing Complaint, knows the contents thereof and believes the
24	same to be true and correct.
25	
26	Robert Wegner
27	Robert Wegner

COMPLAINT

28

THOMAS MCAVITY 2225 NE ALBERTA, STE A PORTLAND OR 97211 Tel: 503.860.6868 Facsimile: 866.241.4176

- 1	
1	-4h
2	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 27 th day of November, 2012.
3	11 Chad of File
4	Printed Name: Wendy K. Bi How
5	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington.
6	My commission expires: $\lfloor 0-1 \leq -1 \rfloor$
7	15, 2 Mariante de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la comp
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON) ss
9	COUNTY OF FRANKLIN)
10	I, Brandee Wegner, being first duly sworn on oath, deposed and says: That she is a plaintiff therein,
11	that she has read the above and foregoing Complaint, knows the contents thereof and believes the
12	same to be true and correct.
13	Roy day 4 2 and
14	Brandee Wegner Brandee Wegner
15	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 27th day of November, 2012.
16	
17	Wendy K. Betton
18 19	Printed Name: Wendy k. Bittor NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of
20	
21	Washington. My commission expires: <u>(e-15-14</u>
22	WAS WILLIAM
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	COMPLAINT THOMAS MCAVITY 2225 NE ALBERTA, STE A

THOMAS MCAVITY
2225 NE ALBERTA, STE A
PORTLAND OR 97211
Tel: 503.860.6868
Facsimile: 866.241.4176



